



# Community Service Agency: Application Scores by Reviewer

Contract Year	Agency	Reviewer					Average	
		<u>RH</u>	<u>AM</u>	<u>KM</u>	<u>BB</u>	<u>JB</u>		<u>BS</u>
2006/07								
	Seminole Co. Victim's Rights Coalition (Safehouse)	95	90	99	96	93	99	<u>95.33</u>
	Meals on Wheels, Etc., Inc.	95	87	98	98	93	99	<u>95.00</u>
	Seminole County Healthy Start Coalition, Inc.	100	80	100	96	98	93	<u>94.50</u>
	Kids House	97	82	96	90	97	93	<u>92.50</u>
	Boys & Girls Clubs of Central Florida, Inc.	98	93	79	95	91	95	<u>91.83</u>
	United Cerebral Palsy	87	73	98	98	88	98	<u>90.33</u>
	Early Learning Coalition of Seminole	100	73	100	89	80	98	<u>90.00</u>
	Visiting Nurse Association of Central Florida, Inc	95	73	95	94	89	91	<u>89.50</u>
	Seminole Community Volunteer Program, Inc.	97	72	90	90	88	99	<u>89.33</u>
	Second Harvest Food Bank	95	77	89	88	91	95	<u>89.17</u>
	Christian Sharing Center, Inc. (The)	97	76	83	96	89	89	<u>88.33</u>
	Lighthouse Central Florida	95	67	97	87	92	92	<u>88.33</u>
	Intervention Services INC	95	79	98	96	77	81	<u>87.67</u>
	Special Olympics	90	74	90	93	80	97	<u>87.33</u>
	Jewish Family Services of Greater Orlando, Inc.	95	70	86	92	84	95	<u>87.00</u>
	Hospice of the Comforter	92	71	95	96	93	71	<u>86.33</u>
	Salvation Army	85	83	77	85	81	92	<u>83.83</u>
	Seminole Work Opportunity Program	95	66	69	91	84	88	<u>82.17</u>
	Community Based Care of Seminole	86	64	70	92	85	94	<u>81.83</u>
	Big Brothers Big Sisters of Central Florida	87	96	53	91	83	76	<u>81.00</u>
	The Center For Affordable Housing	67	72	74	85	88	87	<u>78.83</u>
	Rescue Outreach Mission	86	66	79	73	84	81	<u>78.17</u>
	Alzheimer Resource Center (Development Office)	77	76	52	90	80	85	<u>76.67</u>
	Harvest Time International	78	75	74	86	94	53	<u>76.67</u>
	Deaf Service Bureau of West Central Florida	85	71	54	84	77	88	<u>76.50</u>
	Elizabeth House	50	70	75	90	77	76	<u>73.00</u>
	Pathways To Care, Inc	78	76	62	90	51	79	<u>72.67</u>
	Community Services Network (CSN)	70	73	71	73	71	78	<u>72.67</u>
	CATS-CAN	47	82	74	75	61	87	<u>71.00</u>
	4 Our cookie	51	92	65	70	79	67	<u>70.67</u>
<b>Average Score:</b>		85.50	76.63	81.40	88.97	83.93	87.20	<u>83.94</u>

# Application Review Summary 2006/07

<i>Agency</i>	<i>Total Score</i>	<i>Need</i>	<i>Planning</i>	<i>Financial</i>	<i>Program</i>	<i>Presentation</i>	<i>Evaluation</i>
<u>Possible Score</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>20</u>
4 Our cookie	70.67	14.83	11.17	10.17	12.00	7.33	15.17
Alzheimer Resource Center (Development Office)	76.67	15.33	10.50	14.50	12.50	7.83	16.00
Big Brothers Big Sisters of Central Florida	81.00	14.50	13.50	16.67	11.67	8.17	16.50
Boys & Girls Clubs of Central Florida, Inc.	91.83	18.83	13.67	16.50	15.00	9.83	18.00
CATS-CAN	71.00	15.00	9.83	13.50	13.00	7.50	12.17
Christian Sharing Center, Inc. (The)	88.33	16.33	13.83	17.67	13.00	9.00	18.50
Community Based Care of Seminole	81.83	16.67	11.17	14.83	13.17	7.50	18.50
Community Services Network (CSN)	72.67	11.00	8.83	15.67	13.00	8.50	15.67
Deaf Service Bureau of West Central Florida	76.50	15.67	10.17	14.83	11.83	8.67	15.33
Early Learning Coalition of Seminole	90.00	18.33	13.50	18.67	13.33	9.17	17.00
Elizabeth House	73.00	16.00	9.50	13.17	11.00	7.83	15.50
Harvest Time International	76.67	15.67	10.67	15.33	12.50	7.33	15.17
Hospice of the Comforter	86.33	18.17	11.83	17.17	13.67	8.83	16.67
Intervention Services INC	87.67	17.67	12.17	18.00	13.33	8.67	17.83
Jewish Family Services of Greater Orlando, Inc.	87.00	16.33	13.33	16.67	13.67	9.00	18.00
Kids House	92.50	19.33	13.50	18.50	14.00	9.67	17.50
Lighthouse Central Florida	88.33	17.17	12.50	18.00	14.17	9.33	17.17
Meals on Wheels, Etc., Inc.	95.00	20.00	14.00	18.83	14.50	9.83	17.83
Pathways To Care, Inc	72.67	14.33	10.50	12.67	13.33	7.17	14.67
Rescue Outreach Mission	78.17	17.33	11.50	11.33	14.33	7.67	16.00
Salvation Army	83.83	19.33	10.33	15.50	13.17	8.33	17.17
Second Harvest Food Bank	89.17	18.67	12.83	18.83	14.00	9.17	15.67
Seminole Co. Victim's Rights Coalition (Safehouse)	95.33	19.33	14.17	18.83	14.50	9.67	18.83
Seminole Community Volunteer Program, Inc.	89.33	17.67	13.67	18.17	13.67	9.17	17.00
Seminole County Healthy Start Coalition, Inc.	94.50	18.67	14.83	18.83	13.33	10.00	18.83
Seminole Work Opportunity Program	82.17	16.33	11.67	14.33	13.17	9.00	17.67
Special Olympics	87.33	17.67	13.50	15.83	13.67	9.33	17.33
The Center For Affordable Housing	78.83	16.50	10.33	15.83	12.83	7.67	15.67
United Cerebral Palsy	90.33	19.17	11.83	16.17	13.83	10.17	19.17
Visiting Nurse Association of Central Florida, Inc	89.50	19.33	12.50	17.00	14.17	9.17	17.33
<b><u>AVERAGE Scores:</u></b>	<b>83.94</b>	<b>17.04</b>	<b>12.04</b>	<b>16.07</b>	<b>13.31</b>	<b>8.68</b>	<b>16.79</b>

**Community Services Partnership Program  
2006-2007 Funding Recommendations**

<b>Agency</b>	<b>Request</b>	<b>2005-06 Funding</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Average Score</b>
Safe House	\$90,000	\$90,000	\$90,000	95
Meals On Wheels	\$250,000	\$215,000	\$225,000	95
Seminole Healthy Start	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	95
Kids House of Seminole	\$140,000	\$100,000	\$120,232	93
Boys & Girls Clubs	\$170,000	\$140,000	\$140,000	92
United Cerebral Palsy	\$50,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	90
Early Learning	\$150,000	\$75,000	\$85,000	90
Visiting Nurse Assoc.	\$25,000	\$22,000	\$25,000	90
Seminole Volunteer	\$90,000	\$85,000	\$85,000	89
Second Harvest Food	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	89
Christian Sharing Cent	\$150,000	\$50,000	\$75,000	88
Light House of Central Florida	\$35,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	88
Intervention Services	\$50,000	\$0	\$25,000	88
Special Olympics	\$20,000	\$15,000	\$20,000	87
Jewish Family Services	\$40,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	87
Hospice of the Comforter	\$35,000	\$25,000	\$30,000	86
Salvation Army	\$90,000	\$75,000	\$65,000	84
Seminole Work Opportunity	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$20,000	82
Community Based Care	\$75,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	82
Big Brothers & Sisters	\$25,000	\$0	\$0	81
Center for Affordable Housing	\$33,000	\$0	\$0	79
Rescue Outreach	\$35,000	\$20,000	\$25,000	78
Alzheimer Resource Center	\$20,000	\$0	\$0	77
Harvest Time International	\$50,000	\$25,000	\$20,000	77
Deaf Services Bureau	\$30,000	\$0	\$10,000	77
Elizabeth House	\$50,000	\$0	\$0	73
Pathways to Care	\$30,000	\$20,000	\$10,000	73
Community Services Network	\$115,000	\$25,000	\$20,000	73
Cats-Can	\$75,000	\$0	\$0	71
4-Our Cookie	\$58,800	\$0	\$0	71
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$2,041,800.00</b>	<b>\$1,157,000.00</b>	<b>\$1,235,232.000</b>	<b>84</b>

**Community Service Agency Partnership Program  
2006-07 Application Scores & Funding Recommendations  
Reviewer Notes**

**4 Our Cookie**

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$0	<b>Score:</b> 71
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Funding sources not diverse.</li> <li>○ Needs to be away to assess the progress at home and with parental involvement.</li> <li>○ No EEO statement</li> <li>○ No statistics or supportive data provided</li> <li>○ No fundraising, agency relies heavily on donations, grants and sponsorships.</li> <li>○ Agency has only \$34,000 in unrestricted funds.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The purpose of the program is clearly expressed.</li> <li>○ Their ideas and ways to provide services to SC residents are set forth in a concise manner.</li> <li>○ Research supports need.</li> <li>○ No duplication of services.</li> <li>○ Plan of action demonstrates how they will evaluate program effectiveness.</li> <li>○ Funding will be used to hire staff support in an effort to educate low income children.</li> </ul>

**Alzheimer Resource Center**

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$0	<b>Score:</b> 77
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Application contained grammatical errors.</li> <li>○ Some of the information is outdated.</li> <li>○ Did not answer how many additional clients will be served if funded.</li> <li>○ Not convinced there is a need for funding.</li> <li>○ More fund raising efforts are needed</li> <li>○ Seminole County residents make up 20% of client base. Strategic Plan for 2006-2008 (3 Years) is not prepared.</li> <li>○ Expenses over revenues by \$16,340 form 7/1/2006-4/3/2006.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The need was clearly defined and presented.</li> <li>○ The numbers of probable causes as compared to low number of deaths indicate the high number of caregivers dealing with the disease on a daily basis.</li> <li>○ Funding base is diversified considering the size of the budget.</li> <li>○ Ample tools for evaluating program.</li> <li>○ Staff survey conducted by UCF is impressive.</li> </ul>

**Big Brothers & Big Sisters**

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$0	<b>Score:</b> 81
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Program should be based on how the program meets the need in the community.</li> <li>○ How does the relationship between "Bigs" and "Littles" better the Community? More program detail needed in how the program works.</li> <li>○ Plans for the program itself were not clearly identified.</li> <li>○ Measurable objectives need to describe how the agency will meet the goal.</li> <li>○ No Seminole County Statistics</li> <li>○ Application does not specify how costs were determined</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The need for a program of this nature was clearly given.</li> <li>○ Finances are well diversified and balanced.</li> <li>○ The need is present, considering a waiting list exists.</li> <li>○ Planning is in place to increase funding and supports how clients will come off of the waiting list and be apart of the program.</li> </ul>

### Boys & Girls Clubs

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$140,000.00	<b>Score:</b> 92
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Services are centered around 5 core areas which seem to give youth the knowledge needs to succeed in life.</li> <li>○ Great demographic on this years kind enrolled in the program.</li> <li>○ Great fundraising goal for 06/07.</li> <li>○ Small portion of total budget, a large variety for funding sources.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Does not indicate how often health and well-being are measured.</li> <li>○ Did not list proposed salaries for 06/07 year.</li> <li>○ Need further diversification to required funds</li> </ul>

### Cats-Can

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$0	<b>Score:</b> 71
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ To allocate funds to this agency over other agencies meeting more life altering needs may not be in the best interest of the taxpayers.</li> <li>○ Poor strategic plan, no data or dates who is responsible.</li> <li>○ Reaching people in low income areas and getting them to participate. They could have been clearer on specific plans.</li> <li>○ They are not a lot of financial sources. A little unclear on long term goals.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ This agency obviously has a passion for its purpose which is its' mission to the find homes for animals and assist with controlling pet populations.</li> <li>○ Partnering with Seminole County Animals Service is beneficial to their mission</li> </ul>

### Center for Affordable Housing

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$0	<b>Score:</b> 79
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Not sure what "Direct housing assistance service of referral means" No data on Strategic Plan on how to complete action plans and no one held accountable.</li> <li>○ Would suggest adding timelines to the action steps.</li> <li>○ Revenue sources need to be increased diversified to meet the rising need/demands.</li> <li>○ Program is sound-glad to see counseling is offered to assist clients with over coming fears.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Internal data support client load has increased over the past 3 years.</li> <li>○ Most of agency's revenue is generated from insured income.</li> <li>○ Well organized application.</li> <li>○ Program is sound-glad to see counseling is offered to assist clients with over coming fears.</li> <li>○ Strategic Plan is in place and well structured.</li> <li>○ Finances seem stable.</li> <li>○ Information was detailed and complete.</li> </ul>

### Christian Sharing Center

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$75,000	<b>Score:</b> 88
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The agency did not clearly specify how these services compare to that of other food pantry related agencies in the county. This agency signed up to utilize services however login was never activated. Would like to see this service used rather than request substantial increase in funding.</li> <li>○ If agency is able to serve 4,862 households a total of 14,586 people with \$50,000, why would it cost 100,000 more to serve 5,556 more people in 1,862 Households?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Statistic included indicated need for services.</li> <li>○ Strategic plan in place had specific and dated goals. Goals appear effective.</li> <li>○ Process or method of providing services was defined and detailed in the attachments. Appear to be effective in fulfilling proposal mission of agency.</li> <li>○ Good to service the community.</li> <li>○ Progress explained very well. Good statistics. Very well written and presented.</li> </ul>

### Community Based Care of Seminole

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$50,000.	<b>Score:</b> 82
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Would like to see increased use of E-Civis grant locator to identify grant programs to support the Legacy Project.</li> <li>○ The business plan looks good however it needs to be updated now that it is a few years old.</li> <li>○ Real short plans- blank 5 year for goal and objectives.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ There is a constant need to support the youth of Seminole County.</li> <li>○ They will learn skills to become productive members of society.</li> <li>○ Not sure Seminole County funds are needed.</li> </ul>

### Community Services Network

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$20,000.	<b>Score:</b> 73
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 11,469 Calls divided by 365 equals 31.5 call/day average. Forecast 1,043 more calls in 2006 then 2005.</li> <li>○ No real statistics to quantify need in Seminole county.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ To be an information and referral service for services needs.</li> <li>○ Established in 1968.</li> <li>○ Temporary emergency financial assistance is the greatest demand in calls.</li> <li>○ Seminole County uses the agency to support communication for emergency related events.</li> <li>○ Our citizens are using the services as well has benefits from it.</li> </ul>

### Deaf Services Bureau

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$10,000	<b>Score:</b> 77
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Strategic Plan not detailed</li> <li>○ No report on 2005-2006 fund raising.</li> <li>○ 12/31/2004 indicates a new loose.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Services are comprehensive to assist hearing improved community.</li> <li>○ Funds will be used to match salaries seem more then reasonable.</li> <li>○ Application very neat easy to read.</li> </ul>

### Early Learning Coalition

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$75,000	<b>Score:</b> 90
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The repetitive communication almost should like it's expected for the county to fully fund the requested amount.</li> <li>○ Excellent Strategic plan.</li> <li>○ Good evaluation measurements.</li> <li>○ Excellent programmatic description.</li> <li>○ Plan is good. Good audit, very good presentation.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The application was very detailed and descriptive. Glad to see the agency signed up for E-Civis and a means to diversify revenue sources however it wasn't mentioned in the application.</li> <li>○ Fundraising efforts are not. Too much emphasis was placed on the need for Seminole County funds to meet the federal match requirements. Providing the match is the agency's responsibility.</li> </ul>

### Elizabeth House

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$0	<b>Score:</b> 73
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Very low no sure how big the customer/client base is and how different it is form Safe House.</li> <li>○ Goals should be in Smart format.</li> <li>○ Agency does not have diversified funding. Not all sources are cited.</li> <li>○ Strategic plan consist of 2 paragraphs does not state anything about who will be responsible for achieving goals or target dates.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Program seems organized and on their way.</li> <li>○ Program is debt free.</li> <li>○ Fundraising plan appears effective.</li> <li>○ Measurement tools appear effective.</li> <li>○ Expenses are reasonable</li> </ul>

### Harvest Time International

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$20,000	<b>Score:</b> 77
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Bylaws appear incomplete.</li> <li>○ As a faith based organization reserves the right to hire individuals who adhere to a lifestyle conducive to HTI standards. A little over 1/2 of entire operation is for Seminole County</li> <li>○ How does HTI identify cost just to Seminole County residents. About 1/3 clients are outside Seminole, Orange and Osceola Counties.</li> <li>○ Strategic Plan is more of a statement of purpose. No information regarding long term follow up and tracking of determining the rate or number that reach self sufficiency. It would seem that such a finically thriving organization like HTI would use professional grant writing service to resent the story.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Good logic model.</li> <li>○ Audit appears to be good.</li> <li>○ Very solvent organization-making a profit form 1/2006-5/2006 appear to have net income of 8.6 million.</li> <li>○ Computer software to track client history. Clients reviewed periodically to re-establish income levels.</li> <li>○ Good Logic model evaluation plan.</li> <li>○ Fairly basic and general information.</li> <li>○ Excellent statistical data to support poverty notes in SC.</li> <li>○ Fundraising goal is excellent.</li> </ul>

### Hospice of the Comforter

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$30,000	<b>Score:</b> 86
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Not all goals had target completion dates. Who are "other" revenue sources?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Excellent Strategic Plan it is up to date, states target, dates, actions, and who is responsible.</li> <li>○ Evaluation methods are thorough.</li> <li>○ Good evaluation and logic model.</li> <li>○ The helping hand program is a wonderful opportunity for the citizens of Seminole county to receive services regardless of ability to pay.</li> <li>○ Agency's is financially stable.</li> </ul>

### Intervention Services

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$25,000	<b>Score:</b> 88
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The program itself should and meet a need in the community. Although indication was given for needed funding, Seminole County has awarded use of the Children's Village nearly free of charge.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Strategic plan needs to be updated.</li> <li>○ Excellent research.</li> <li>○ Excellent statistical data which supports the need for residential housing. Excellent measurement tools.</li> <li>○ Excellent community partnerships.</li> <li>○ Very good presentation.</li> </ul>

### Jewish Family Services

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$15,000	<b>Score:</b> 87
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Financial support from the Seminole County School Board is lacking. JFS was offered a very valuable tool for locating additional grant funds, a tool provided at no cost to JFS.</li> <li>○ Agency needs more diversification</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The Kid Konnect program is reaching to meet a very real need in the community.</li> <li>○ The partnership established is impressive and appear to be effective; however a more defined plan including more than just financial support.</li> <li>○ Well organized</li> </ul>

### Kids House

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$100,000	<b>Score:</b> 93
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Agency may be relying on county support to heavily. The county may not always be in a position to provide financial support.</li> <li>○ Costs seem reasonable.</li> <li>○ Application nicely put organized</li> <li>○ No other program in Central Florida, There is a waiting list for services in Seminole County.</li> <li>○ Trying to expand services if they receive more money.</li> <li>○ Have secured half of their funds, very diverse funding.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Only children's advocacy center in Seminole County.</li> <li>○ Networked throughout Seminole County and West FL Hospital and other child agencies. 3,972 reports of child abuse cases under investigation in Seminole County during 2005.</li> <li>○ Provide services to 492 new child abuse victims and maintained an active caseload of 2,526 abused children.</li> <li>○ Good logic model. Excellent Audit. Good Budget.</li> </ul>

### Lighthouse of Central Florida

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$30,000	<b>Score:</b> 88
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Would like to see a better way of evaluating program they pre and post tests.</li> <li>○ More focus needs put on outreach programs to increase client services.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Request is small amount of total budget.</li> <li>○ No other program in Central Florida</li> <li>○ There is a waiting list for services in SC.</li> <li>○ Trying to expand services if they receive more money.</li> <li>○ Have secured half of their funds for the year fundraising goal</li> <li>○ Very diverse funding base</li> <li>○ Good program narrative.</li> <li>○ Good audit.</li> </ul>

### Meals on Wheels

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$225,000	<b>Score:</b> 95
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Would like to see move individual off waiting list.</li> <li>○ Pages 10-14 of Strategic Plan need to be updated. Need dates to target achievements of goals.</li> <li>○ Indicators in Logic Model need to be more specific.</li> <li>○ Need to start weaning off the County.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Impressive use of program and facilities.</li> <li>○ This agency is very well organized.</li> <li>○ Doubling the need from one year to another substantiates the need for funding. Strategic plan is well detailed and specific.</li> <li>○ Grant fundraising plans are in place very effective and wonderful to see collaborative.</li> <li>○ Effective measurement tools. Very impressive.</li> </ul>

### Pathways To Care

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$10,000	<b>Score:</b> 73
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ No dates are cited with sources information.</li> <li>○ Expenditures exceed revenue.</li> <li>○ The application was poorly done.</li> <li>○ More specific information is needed to make it more competitive.</li> <li>○ Seek assistance outside of the agency for grant writing services would be beneficial.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Strategic plan seems good but dated.</li> <li>○ Excellent special factors section.</li> <li>○ This agency is making honest attempts to pursue additional funding.</li> <li>○ Their program/services appear to meet a genuine need within community.</li> </ul>

### Rescue Outreach

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$25,000	<b>Score:</b> 78
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Concerned about financial stability;</li> <li>○ Agency did not specify accomplishments in Strategic Plan</li> <li>○ Will only increase # of clients by 10.</li> <li>○ No discussion on how goals will be met.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The need for services provided by Rescue Outreach was identified and detailed.</li> <li>○ Evaluation tools appear effective. Using E-civis Grant Locator- delighted to reach this agency has benefited from Rollins College Philanthropy Center.</li> <li>○ Excellent use of measurement tools</li> </ul>

**Safe House of Seminole**

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$90,000	<b>Score:</b> 95
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Need more fund raising.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Good documentation. Funding increase services 24 to 48 Individuals.</li> <li>○ Excellent statistics support need.</li> <li>○ Excellent plans for next year funds.</li> <li>○ Well written strategic plan clearly defined goals with target dates and who's responsible.</li> <li>○ Excellent evaluation tools.</li> <li>○ Formatting off but well written.</li> </ul>

**Salvation Army**

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$65,000	<b>Score:</b> 84
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Poor fundraising activities. Need to increase next year's fundraising.</li> <li>○ Strategic Plan needs work.</li> <li>○ No CBC funds for Seminole?</li> <li>○ Rather than request an increase in funding why not use E-civis to find additional/new funding sources.</li> <li>○ Also the application itself needed some attention to detail to eliminate spelling and grammatical</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Excellent CBC program statistics that support the child abuse cases in Seminole County.</li> <li>○ Number of children enrolled in the program support the need</li> <li>○ Only court approved visitation center in Seminole County</li> <li>○ Program serves a good purpose and meets a real need in Seminole County.</li> <li>○ Finances seem stable.</li> </ul>

**Second Harvest Food Bank**

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$10,000	<b>Score:</b> 89
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>	<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Would like statistics as far as the agencies (no of agencies) in Seminole County receiving free delivery.</li> <li>○ With the evaluation included customer status survey as discussed at monitoring.</li> <li>○ Formatting on schedules F and G are off.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The application was completed very well.</li> <li>○ The program is wonderful serving to the other agencies in the county, free delivery assists Seminole County agencies in using funds for food delivery for direct client services</li> <li>○ Excellent statistical data to support hunger in Seminole County</li> <li>○ Agency on target with fundraising goals as listed in the strategic plan. Agencies funding is diversified.</li> <li>○ Evaluation includes the renewal of monthly operations status reports and delivery records.</li> </ul>

### Seminole County Volunteer Program

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$85,000		<b>Score:</b> 89	
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>		<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Heavily dependent on Seminole County funds.</li> <li>○ It appears some of the funding sources are dropping from 05/06. This is all the more reason to use the E-civis Grant Locator.</li> <li>○ This agency has received funding from Seminole County for over 10 years. When is it time to wean off the county and use the resources we provided to do so.</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Program assists seniors with volunteer activities by involving them in the community</li> <li>○ Excellent strategic plan</li> <li>○ Fundraising goal for next year specifies the actions taken to reach goal.</li> <li>○ Well organized.</li> <li>○ Excellent description of program.</li> <li>○ Measurable objectives include an evaluation by agencies.</li> <li>○ The partnership establishes with different organizations in the county.</li> <li>○ This agency seems to be fulfilling their purpose/mission effectively.</li> </ul>	

### Seminole Healthy Start Coalition

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$20,000		<b>Score:</b> 95	
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>		<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Already secured most of their funds for the year</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Educational program presented over 5 grades staffed by volunteers.</li> <li>○ Excellent statistic info on the number of teenage mothers. Grant info on the cost of teenage birth.</li> <li>○ Excellent very organized and easy to read.</li> <li>○ Questions were answered in their entirety</li> </ul>	

### Special Olympics

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$20,000		<b>Score:</b> 87	
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>		<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The audit covers the state Special Olympic not the Seminole County Special Olympics</li> <li>○ This agency serves a small number of citizens as compared to some of our other applicants.</li> <li>○ Agency has not taken advantage of the free access to E-civis grant locator service, a for locating potential funding opportunities.</li> <li>○ Then budget for fund raising was low as well which questions the need for continued funds from Seminole County.</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Not totally dependent on funding.</li> <li>○ Good evaluation methods in place to measure outcomes</li> <li>○ 20 more athletes will be assisted with additional funding.</li> <li>○ Good simple strategic plan which generally reflect the purpose of the activity.</li> <li>○ Budget appears to be reasonable.</li> <li>○ Good presentation.</li> </ul>	

### Seminole Work Opportunity

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$20,000		<b>Score:</b> 82	
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>		<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Would like to see the types of companies who have partnered with SWOP to employ "consumers". Additional detail regarding the types of work the program proved would be helpful.</li> <li>○ Would like to see more specific goals and date anticipated or targeted to achieve the goal. Seem to have reported the objective based on how well you do about accomplishing the objective.</li> <li>○ Would like application to contain more detail.</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The mission of the agency is a worthwhile cause. The Statistic shows a great number of disabled individuals (adult) in the County- the more we can help the better. No other known agency provides this service.</li> <li>○ General goals identified on program model. Funding sources are diversified.</li> <li>○ Accountability by using an outside form for evaluating.</li> <li>○ Application was completed thoroughly-each question answered.</li> </ul>	

### United Cerebral Palsy

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$35,000		<b>Score:</b> 90	
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>		<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Some of the data was inconsistent. In one location it stated 10 clients would be served using Seminole County Funds (schedule K), yet in other places it stated 20 clients</li> <li>○ Some of the financial info could be a little clearer as well the increases explained.</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ UCP appear to be very stable and well organized, meeting a specific need in the community. The application was well detailed and descriptive.</li> <li>○ Excellent statistic and evaluation tools.</li> </ul>	

### Visiting Nurse Association

<b>Recommendation:</b> \$25,000		<b>Score:</b> 90	
<b>Notes(Issues-Concerns)</b>		<b>Notes(Assets-Values)</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Would like to see more people coming off waiting list, especially since 2002.</li> <li>○ If additional funds are needed request them.</li> <li>○ Strategic plan is weak, does not contain dates, and who is responsible for working on goals.</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ A good application with details in most areas.</li> <li>○ Funding from Seminole County appears to be needed to prepare match for other funding and to provide service to additional citizens.</li> <li>○ Services seem to meet the identified need.</li> <li>○ Funding is diversified.</li> <li>○ Very well organized.</li> <li>○ Good evaluation.</li> <li>○ Presentation is good.</li> </ul>	