PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

17. Approve Ranking List, Authorize Negotiations, and Award Agreement for PS-5191-05/TLR – Engineering Design, Permitting, and Post-Design Services for the Central Transfer Station Citizen Area to Brown and Caldwell of Maitland (\$150,000.00).

PS-5191-05/TLR will provide all required professional services for the complete construction of the Citizen Drop-Off Area at the Central Transfer Station, including, but not limited to preparation of a public involvement plan, preliminary engineering analysis, final engineering, permitting and construction plans, signing and pavement marking plans, lighting plans, technical specifications, special provisions, and any jurisdictional agency permits necessary to provide an unloading area for deliveries of solid waste, yard waste, and other waste materials delivered to the Central Transfer Station located at 1950 State Road 419, Longwood, Florida, in small self-haul loads.

This project was publicly advertised and the County received two submittals (listed in alphabetical order):

- Bentley Architects & Engineers
- Brown and Caldwell

The Evaluation Committee, which consisted of David Gregory, Solid Waste Manager; Greg Regan, Sr. Coordinator; and Dennis Westrick, PEI Manager, evaluated the submittals and conducted interviews with both firms.

Consideration was given to the following criteria:

- Project approach
- Qualifications/Experience
- Ability to perform

The Evaluation Committee recommends that the Board approve the ranking below and authorize staff to negotiate with the top ranked firm in accordance with F.S. 287.055, the Consultants Competitive Negotiation Act (CCNA).

- Brown and Caldwell
- Bentley Architects & Engineers

Environmental Services/Solid Waste Division and Fiscal Services/Purchasing and Contracts Division recommend that the Board approve the ranking, authorize staff to negotiate, and authorize the Chairman to execute the Agreement as prepared by the County Attorney's Office.

CONSULTANT SERVICES AGREEMENT (PS-5191-05/TLR) ENGINEERING DESIGN, PERMITTING AND POST-DESIGN SERVICES FOR CENTRAL TRANSFER STATION CITIZEN AREA

| THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this day of |
|--|
| , 20, by and between BROWN AND CALDWELL, duly |
| authorized to conduct business in the State of Florida, whose address is |
| 850 Trafalgar Court, Suite 300, Maitland, Florida 32751, hereinafter |
| called the "CONSULTANT" and SEMINOLE COUNTY, a political subdivision of |
| the State of Florida, whose address is Seminole County Services |
| Building, 1101 East First Street, Sanford, Florida 32771, hereinafter |
| called the "COUNTY". |

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the COUNTY desires to retain the services of a competent and qualified consultant to provide engineering design, permitting and post-design services for the Central Transfer Station Citizen Area in Seminole County; and

WHEREAS, the COUNTY has requested and received expressions of interest for the retention of services of consultants; and

WHEREAS, CONSULTANT is competent and qualified to furnish consulting services to the COUNTY and desires to provide its professional services according to the terms and conditions stated herein,

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual understandings and covenants set forth herein, COUNTY and CONSULTANT agree as follows:

SECTION 1. SERVICES. COUNTY does hereby retain CONSULTANT to furnish professional services and perform those tasks as further described in the Scope of Services attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "A".

SECTION 2. TIME FOR COMPLETION. The services to be rendered by CONSULTANT shall commence upon execution of this Agreement by the

parties and shall be completed upon completion and acceptance of the project construction.

SECTION 3. COMPENSATION AND PAYMENT.

- (a) The COUNTY agrees to compensate CONSULTANT for the professional services called for under this Agreement a fee not to exceed the sum of ONE HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$150,000.00). CONSULTANT shall perform all work required by the Scope of Services but, in no event, shall CONSULTANT be paid more than the negotiated fee stated above. The CONSULTANT shall be compensated at the rates as shown on Exhibit "B", attached.
- (b) Payments shall be made to the CONSULTANT when requested as work progresses for services furnished, but not more than once monthly. CONSULTANT may invoice amount due based on the total required services actually performed and completed. Upon review and approval of CONSULTANT's invoice, the COUNTY shall, within thirty (30) days of receipt of the invoice, pay CONSULTANT the approved amount.

SECTION 4. BILLING AND PAYMENT.

- (a) CONSULTANT shall render to the COUNTY, at the close of each calendar month, an itemized invoice, properly dated including, but not limited to, the following information:
 - (1) The name and address of the CONSULTANT;
 - (2) Contract Number;
- (3) A complete and accurate record of services performed by the CONSULTANT for all services performed by the CONSULTANT during that month and for which the COUNTY is billed;
- (4) A description of the services rendered in (3) above with sufficient detail to identify the exact nature of the work performed; and

(5) Such other information as may be required by this Agreement or requested by the COUNTY from time to time.

The original invoice shall be sent to:

Director of County Finance Seminole County Board of County Commissioners Post Office Box 8080 Sanford, Florida 32772

A duplicate copy of the invoice shall be sent to:

Seminole County Solid Waste Department 500 W. Lake Mary Blvd. Sanford, Florida 32773

(b) Payment shall be made after review and approval by COUNTY within thirty (30) days of receipt of a proper invoice from the CONSULTANT.

SECTION 5. AUDIT OF RECORDS.

- (a) COUNTY may perform or have performed an audit of the records of CONSULTANT after final payment to support final payment hereunder. This audit would be performed at a time mutually agreeable to CONSULTANT and COUNTY subsequent to the close of the final fiscal period in which the last work is performed. Total compensation to CONSULTANT may be determined subsequent to an audit as provided for in subsection (b) and of this subsection, and the total compensation so determined shall be used to calculate final payment to CONSULTANT. Conduct of this audit shall not delay final payment as required by Section 4(b).
- (b) The CONSULTANT agrees to maintain all books, documents, papers, accounting records and other evidences pertaining to work performed under this Agreement in such a manner as will readily conform to the terms of this Agreement and to make such materials available at CONSULTANT's office at all reasonable times during the Agreement period and for five (5) years from the date of final payment under the contract for audit or inspection as provided for in subsection (a) of this Section.

(c) In the event any audit or inspection conducted after final payment, but within the period provided in subsection (b) of this Section reveals any overpayment by COUNTY under the terms of the Agreement, CONSULTANT shall refund such overpayment to COUNTY within thirty (30) days of notice by the COUNTY.

SECTION 6. RESPONSIBILITY OF CONSULTANT.

- (a) CONSULTANT shall be responsible for the professional quality, technical accuracy and the coordination of all plans, studies, reports and other services furnished by CONSULTANT under this Agreement. CONSULTANT shall, without additional compensation, correct or revise any errors or deficiencies in his services.
- (b) Neither the COUNTY'S review, approval or acceptance of, nor payment for, any of the services required shall be construed to operate as a waiver of any rights under this Agreement or of any cause of action arising out of the performance of this Agreement and the CONSULTANT shall be and remain liable to the COUNTY in accordance with applicable law for all damages to the COUNTY caused by the CONSULTANT's performance of any of the services furnished under this Agreement.
- SECTION 7. OWNERSHIP OF DOCUMENTS. All deliverable reference data, survey data, plans and reports that result from the CONSULTANT'S services under this Agreement shall become the property of the COUNTY after final payment for the specific service provided is made to CONSULTANT. No changes or revisions to the documents furnished by CONSULTANT shall be made by COUNTY or its agents without the written approval of CONSULTANT.
- SECTION 8. TERM. This Agreement shall take effect on the date of its execution by COUNTY and shall remain in effect until completion of all review and acceptance work required by the Scope of Services.

SECTION 9. TERMINATION.

- (a) The COUNTY may, by written notice to the CONSULTANT, terminate this Agreement, in whole or in part, at any time, either for the COUNTY'S convenience or because of the failure of the CONSULTANT to fulfill CONSULTANT's Agreement obligations. Upon receipt of such notice, the CONSULTANT shall:
- (1) immediately discontinue all services affected unless the notice directs otherwise, and
- (2) deliver to the COUNTY all plans, studies, reports, estimates, summaries, and such other information and materials as may have been accumulated by the CONSULTANT in performing this Agreement, whether completed or in process.
- (b) If the termination is for the convenience of the COUNTY, the CONSULTANT shall be paid compensation for services performed to the date of termination. CONSULTANT shall be paid no more than a percentage of the Fixed Fee amount equivalent to the percentage of the completion of work contemplated by the Agreement.
- (c) If the termination is due to the failure of the CONSULTANT to fulfill his Agreement obligations, the COUNTY may take over the work and prosecute the same to completion by Agreement or otherwise. In such case, the CONSULTANT shall be liable to the COUNTY for reasonable additional costs occasioned to the COUNTY thereby. The CONSULTANT shall not be liable for such additional costs if the failure to perform the Agreement arises out of causes beyond the control and without the fault or negligence of the CONSULTANT. Such causes may include, but are not limited to, acts of God or of the public enemy, acts of the COUNTY in either its sovereign or contractual capacity, fires, floods, epidemics, quarantine restrictions, strikes, freight embargoes, and unusually severe weather; but, in every case, the failure to perform must be

beyond the control and without the fault or negligence of the CONSULTANT.

- (d) If, after notice of termination for failure to fulfill Agreement obligations, it is determined that the CONSULTANT had not so failed, the termination shall be deemed to have been effected for the convenience of the COUNTY. In such event, adjustment in the Agreement price shall be made as provided in subsection (b) of this Section.
- (e) The rights and remedies of the COUNTY provided in this clause are in addition to any other rights and remedies provided by law or under this Agreement.

SECTION 10. EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYMENT. CONSULTANT agrees that it will not discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment for work under this Agreement because of race, color, religion, sex, age, national origin, or disability and will take steps to ensure that applicants are employed, and employees are treated during employment, without regard to race, color, religion, sex, age, national origin or disability. This provision shall include, but not be limited employment, upgrading, demotion or transfer; to, the following: recruitment advertising; layoff or termination; rates of pay or other compensation; and selection for training, including forms of apprenticeship.

SECTION 11. NO CONTINGENT FEES. CONSULTANT warrants that it has not employed or retained any company or persons, other than a bona fide employee working solely for the CONSULTANT, to solicit or secure this Agreement and that CONSULTANT has not paid or agreed to pay any persons, company, corporation, individual or firm, other than a bona fide employee working solely for CONSULTANT, any fee, commission, percentage, gift, or other consideration contingent upon or resulting from the award or making of this Agreement. For the breach or violation of this

provision, COUNTY shall have the right to terminate the Agreement at its discretion, without liability and to deduct from the Agreement price, or otherwise recover, the full amount of such fee, commission, percentage, gift or consideration.

SECTION 12. ASSIGNMENT. This Agreement, or any interest herein, shall not be assigned, transferred, or otherwise encumbered, under any circumstances, by the parties hereto without prior written consent of the opposite party and only by a document of equal dignity herewith.

SECTION 13. SUBCONTRACTORS. In the event CONSULTANT, during the course of the work under this Agreement, requires the services of any subcontractors or other professional associates in connection with service covered by this Agreement, CONSULTANT must secure the prior written approval of the COUNTY. If subcontractors or other professional associates are required in connection with the services covered by this Agreement, CONSULTANT shall remain fully responsible for the services of subcontractors or other professional associates.

SECTION 14. INDEMNIFICATION OF COUNTY. The CONSULTANT agrees to hold harmless, replace, and indemnify the COUNTY, its commissioners, officers, employees, and agents against any and all claim, losses, damages or lawsuits for damages, arising from the negligent, reckless, or intentionally wrongful provision of services hereunder by the CONSULTANT, whether caused by the CONSULTANT or otherwise.

SECTION 15. INSURANCE.

- (a) <u>General</u>. The CONSULTANT shall at the CONSULTANT's own cost, procure the insurance required under this Section.
- (1) The CONSULTANT shall furnish the COUNTY with a Certificate of Insurance signed by an authorized representative of the insurer evidencing the insurance required by this Section (Professional Liability, Workers' Compensation/Employer's Liability and Commercial

General Liability). The COUNTY, its officials, officers, and employees shall be named additional insured under the Commercial General Liability policy. The Certificate of Insurance shall provide that the COUNTY shall be given not less than thirty (30) days written notice prior to the cancellation or restriction of coverage. Until such time as the insurance is no longer required to be maintained by the CONSULTANT, the CONSULTANT shall provide the COUNTY with a renewal or replacement Certificate of Insurance not less than thirty (30) days before expiration or replacement of the insurance for which a previous certificate has been provided.

- being provided in accordance with the Agreement and that the insurance is in full compliance with the requirements of the Agreement. In lieu of the statement on the Certificate, the CONSULTANT shall, at the option of the COUNTY submit a sworn, notarized statement from an authorized representative of the insurer that the Certificate is being provided in accordance with the Agreement and that the insurance is in full compliance with the requirements of the Agreement. The Certificate shall have this Agreement number clearly marked on its face.
- (3) In addition to providing the Certificate of Insurance, if required by the COUNTY, the CONSULTANT shall, within thirty (30) days after receipt of the request, provide the COUNTY with a certified copy of each of the policies of insurance providing the coverage required by this Section.
- (4) Neither approval by the COUNTY or failure to disapprove the insurance furnished by CONSULTANT shall relieve the CONSULTANT of the CONSULTANT's full responsibility for performance of any obligation including CONSULTANT's indemnification of COUNTY under this Agreement.

- (b) <u>Insurance Company Requirements</u>. Insurance companies providing the insurance under this Agreement must meet the following requirements:
- (1) Companies issuing policies other than Workers' Compensation must be authorized to conduct business in the State of Florida and prove same by maintaining Certificates of Authority issued to the companies by the Department of Insurance of the State of Florida. Policies for Workers' Compensation may be issued by companies authorized as a group self-insurer by Section 440.57, Florida Statutes. .
- (2) In addition, such companies other than those authorized by Section 440.57, Florida Statutes, shall have and maintain a Best's Rating of "A" or better and a Financial Size Category of "VII" or better according to A.M. Best Company.
- (3) If, during the period which an insurance company is providing the insurance coverage required by this Agreement, an insurance company shall: 1) lose its Certificate of Authority, 2) no longer comply with Section 440.57, Florida Statutes, or 3) fail to maintain the requisite Best's Rating and Financial Size Category, the CONSULTANT shall, as soon as the CONSULTANT has knowledge of any such circumstance, immediately notify the COUNTY and immediately replace the insurance coverage provided by the insurance company with a different insurance company meeting the requirements of this Agreement. Until such time as the CONSULTANT has replaced the unacceptable insurer with an insurer acceptable to the COUNTY the CONSULTANT shall be deemed to be in default of this Agreement.
- (c) <u>Specifications</u>. Without limiting any of the other obligations or liability of the CONSULTANT, the CONSULTANT shall, at the CONSULTANT's sole expense, procure, maintain and keep in force amounts and types of insurance conforming to the minimum requirements set forth

in this Section. Except as otherwise specified in the Agreement, the insurance shall become effective prior to the commencement of work by the CONSULTANT and shall be maintained in force until the Agreement completion date. The amounts and types of insurance shall conform to the following minimum requirements.

(1) Workers' Compensation/Employer's Liability.

- CONSULTANT's insurance shall cover the CONSULTANT for liability which would be covered by the latest edition of the standard Workers' Compensation Policy, as filed for use in Florida by the National Council on Compensation Insurance, without restrictive The CONSULTANT will also be responsible for procuring endorsements. proper proof of coverage from its subcontractors of every tier for liability which is a result of a Workers' Compensation injury to the subcontractor's employees. The minimum required limits to be provided by both the CONSULTANT and its subcontractors are outlined in subsection In addition to coverage for the Florida Workers' (C) below. Compensation Act, where appropriate, coverage is to be included for the United States Longshoremen and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act, Federal Employers' Liability Act and any other applicable federal or state law.
- (B) Subject to the restrictions of coverage found in the standard Workers' Compensation Policy, there shall be no maximum limit on the amount of coverage for liability imposed by the Florida Workers' Compensation Act, the United States Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act, or any other coverage customarily insured under Part One of the standard Workers' Compensation Policy.
- (C) The minimum amount of coverage under Part Two of the standard Workers' Compensation Policy shall be:

| \$ 500,000.00 | (Each Accident) |
|----------------|-------------------------|
| \$1,000,000.00 | (Disease-Policy Limit) |
| \$ 500,000.00 | (Disease-Each Employee) |

(2) Commercial General Liability.

(A) The CONSULTANT's insurance shall cover the CONSULTANT for those sources of liability which would be covered by the latest edition of the standard Commercial General Liability Coverage Form (ISO Form CG 00 01), as filed for use in the State of Florida by the Insurance Services Office, without the attachment of restrictive endorsements other than the elimination of Coverage C, Medical Payment and the elimination of coverage for Fire Damage Legal Liability.

(B) The minimum limits to be maintained by the CONSULTANT (inclusive of any amounts provided by an Umbrella or Excess policy) shall be as follows:

LIMITS

General Aggregate \$Three (3) Times the Each Occurrence Limit

Personal & Advertising \$500,000.00 Injury Limit

Each Occurrence Limit \$500,000.00

- (3) <u>Professional Liability Insurance</u>. The CONSULTANT shall carry limits of not less than FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$500,000.00).
- (d) <u>Coverage</u>. The insurance provided by CONSULTANT pursuant to this Agreement shall apply on a primary basis and any other insurance or self-insurance maintained by the COUNTY or the COUNTY'S officials, officers, or employees shall be excess of and not contributing with the insurance provided by or on behalf of the CONSULTANT.
- (e) Occurrence Basis. The Workers' Compensation Policy and the Commercial General Liability required by this Agreement shall be provided on an occurrence rather than a claims-made basis. The Professional Liability insurance policy must either be on an occurrence basis, or, if a claims-made basis, the coverage must respond to all

claims reported within three (3) years following the period for which coverage is required and which would have been covered had the coverage been on an occurrence basis.

(f) <u>Obligations</u>. Compliance with the foregoing insurance requirements shall not relieve the CONSULTANT, its employees or agents of liability from any obligation under a Section or any other portions of this Agreement.

SECTION 16. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION.

- (a) In the event of a dispute related to any performance or payment obligation arising under this Agreement, the parties agree to exhaust COUNTY protest procedures prior to filing suit or otherwise pursuing legal remedies. COUNTY procedures for proper invoice and payment disputes are set forth in Section 55.1, "Prompt Payment Procedures," Seminole County Administrative Code.
- (b) CONSULTANT agrees that it will file no suit or otherwise pursue legal remedies based on facts or evidentiary materials that were not presented for consideration in the COUNTY protest procedures set forth in subsection (a) above of which the CONSULTANT had knowledge and failed to present during the COUNTY protest procedures.
- (c) In the event that COUNTY protest procedures are exhausted and a suit is filed or legal remedies are otherwise pursued, the parties shall exercise best efforts to resolve disputes through voluntary mediation. Mediator selection and the procedures to be employed in voluntary mediation shall be mutually acceptable to the parties. Costs of voluntary mediation shall be shared equally among the parties participating in the mediation.

SECTION 17. REPRESENTATIVE OF COUNTY AND CONSULTANT.

(a) It is recognized that questions in the day-to-day conduct of performance pursuant to this Agreement will arise. The COUNTY, upon

request by CONSULTANT, shall designate in writing and shall advise CONSULTANT in writing of one (1) or more COUNTY employees to whom all communications pertaining to the day-to-day conduct of the Agreement shall be addressed. The designated representative shall have the authority to transmit instructions, receive information and interpret and define the COUNTY'S policy and decisions pertinent to the work covered by this Agreement.

(b) CONSULTANT shall, at all times during the normal work week, designate or appoint one or more representatives of CONSULTANT who are authorized to act on behalf of CONSULTANT regarding all matters involving the conduct of the performance pursuant to this Agreement and shall keep COUNTY continually advised of such designation.

SECTION 18. ALL PRIOR AGREEMENTS SUPERSEDED. This document incorporates and includes all prior negotiations, correspondence, conversations, agreements or understandings applicable to the matters contained herein and the parties agree that there are not commitments, agreements or understandings concerning the subject matter of this Agreement that are not contained or referred to in this document. Accordingly, it is agreed that no deviation from the terms hereof shall be predicated upon any prior representations or agreements, whether oral or written.

SECTION 19. MODIFICATIONS, AMENDMENTS OR ALTERATIONS. No modification, amendment or alteration in the terms or conditions contained herein shall be effective unless contained in a written document executed with the same formality and of equal dignity herewith.

SECTION 20. INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR. It is agreed that nothing herein contained is intended or should be construed as in any manner creating or establishing a relationship of copartners between the parties, or as constituting the CONSULTANT including its officers,

employees, and agents, the agent, representative, or employee of the COUNTY for any purpose, or in any manner, whatsoever. The CONSULTANT is to be and shall remain an independent contractor with respect to all services performed under this Agreement.

SECTION 21. EMPLOYEE STATUS. Persons employed by the CONSULTANT in the performance of services and functions pursuant to this Agreement shall have no claim to pension, workers' compensation, unemployment compensation, civil service or other employee rights or privileges granted to the COUNTY'S officers and employees either by operation of law or by the COUNTY.

SECTION 22. SERVICES NOT PROVIDED FOR. No claim for services furnished by the CONSULTANT not specifically provided for herein shall be honored by the COUNTY.

SECTION 23. PUBLIC RECORDS LAW. CONSULTANT acknowledges COUNTY'S obligations under Article 1, Section 24, Florida Constitution and Chapter 119, Florida Statutes, to release public records to members of the public upon request. CONSULTANT acknowledges that COUNTY is required to comply with Article 1, Section 24, Florida Constitution and Chapter 119, Florida Statutes, in the handling of the materials created under this Agreement and that said statute controls over the terms of this Agreement.

SECTION 24. NOTICES. Whenever either party desires to give notice unto the other, it must be given by written notice, sent by certified United States mail, with return receipt requested, addressed to the party for whom it is intended at the place last specified and the place for giving of notice shall remain such until it shall have been changed by written notice in compliance with the provisions of this Section. For the present, the parties designate the following as the respective places for giving of notice, to wit:

For COUNTY:

Solid Waste Department 500 W. Lake Mary Blvd. Sanford, FL 32773

For CONSULTANT:

Brown and Caldwell 850 Trafalgar Court, Suite 300 Maitland, FL 32751

SECTION 25. RIGHTS AT LAW RETAINED. The rights and remedies of the COUNTY, provided for under this Agreement, are in addition to any other rights and remedies provided by law.

SECTION 26. COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS. In providing all services pursuant to this Agreement, the CONSULTANT shall abide by all statutes, ordinances, rules, and regulations pertaining to, or regulating the provisions of, such services, including those now in effect and hereafter adopted. Any violation of said statutes, ordinances, rules, or regulations shall constitute a material breach of this Agreement, and shall entitle the COUNTY to terminate this Agreement immediately upon delivery of written notice of termination to the CONSULTANT.

SECTION 27. CONFLICT OF INTEREST.

- (a) The CONSULTANT agrees that it will not engage in any action that would create a conflict of interest in the performance of its obligations pursuant to this Agreement with the COUNTY or which would violate or cause others to violate the provisions of Part III, Chapter 112, Florida Statutes, relating to ethics in government.
- (b) The CONSULTANT hereby certifies that no officer, agent or employee of the COUNTY has any material interest (as defined in Section 112.312(15), Florida Statutes, as over 5%) either directly or indirectly, in the business of the CONSULTANT to be conducted here, and that no such person shall have any such interest at any time during the term of

this Agreement.

(c) Pursuant to Section 216.347, Florida Statutes, the CONSULTANT hereby agrees that monies received from the COUNTY pursuant to this Agreement will not be used for the purpose of lobbying the Legislature or any other State or Federal agency.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have made and executed this Agreement for the purposes stated herein.

| | | BROWN AND CALDWELL |
|---|-------|--|
| Witness | By: | HERALD E. SCHMIDT, JR. Vice-President |
| | Date: | |
| ATTEST: | | BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS SEMINOLE COUNTY, FLORIDA |
| MARYANNE MORSE | By:_ | CARLTON HENLEY, Chairman |
| Clerk to the Board of County Commissioners of Seminole County, Florida. | Date: | |
| For the use and reliance of Seminole County only. | | As authorized for execution by the Board of County Commissioners at their, 20, |
| Approved as to form and legal sufficiency. | | regular meeting. |
| County Attorney | | |
| AC/lpk 9/8/05 9/9/05 9/13/05 ps-5191 | | |
| Attachment: Exhibit "A" - Scope of Services | 3 | |

B.C.C. - SEMINOLE COUNTY, FL PS TABULATION SHEET

BID NUMBER:

PS-5191-05/TLR

BID TITLE :

Engineering Design, Permitting & Post-Design Services

For Central transfer Station Citizen Area

ALL SUBMITTALS ACCEPTED BY SEMINOLE COUNTY ARE SUBJECT TO THE COUNTY'S TERMS AND CONDITIONS AND ANY AND ALL ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS SUBMITTED BY THE PROPOSERS ARE REJECTED AND SHALL HAVE NO FORCE AND EFFECT. PS DOCUMENTS FROM THE PROPOSERS LISTED HEREIN ARE THE ONLY SUBMITTALS RECEIVED TIMELY AS OF THE ABOVE OPENING DATE AND TIME. ALL OTHER PS DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO THIS SOLICITATION, IF ANY, ARE

DATE:

August 03, 2005

TIME: 2:00 P.M.

HEREBY REJECTED AS LATE.

| RESPONSE -1- | RESPONSE -2- |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Bentley Architects & Engineers, Inc. | Brown and Caldwell |
| William C. Bentley, P.E. | Harold E. Schmidt, Jr., Vice President |
| 665 West Warren Avenue | 850 Trafalgar Court, Suite 300 |
| Longwood, FL 32750 | Maitland, FL 32751 |
| 407 331-6116 Ph. | 407 661-9500 Ph. |
| 407 331-4566 Fx. | 407 661-9599 |

Tabulated by: T. Roberts, CPPB, Sr. Contracts Analyst - Posted 08/04/2005

Evaluation Committee Meeting: N/A

Presentations: With both firms, via telephone, on August 26, 2005 beginning at 3:00pm, from the CTS

Recommendation: 08/29/05 - Brown and Caldwell; BCC Date: 09/27/05

| Bentley Architects Project Approach Qualifications/Experience Ability to Perform TOTAL SCORE (100 Points) | David Gregory 50% 30% 20% | SCORE 85 85 90 | TOTAL 42.5 25.5 18 86 | RANKING 2 |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|-----------|
| Brown and Caldwell Project Approach Qualifications/Experience Ability to Perform TOTAL SCORE (100 Points) | 50% 30% 20% | Score 95 95 92 | TOTAL 47.5 28.5 18.4 94.4 | 1 |

| Bentley Architects Project Approach Qualifications/Experience Ability to Perform TOTAL SCORE (100 Points) | Dennis Westrick 50% 30% 20% | SCORE 70 75 80 | TOTAL 35 22.5 16 73.5 | RANKING 2 |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--------------|
| Brown and Caldwell Project Approach Qualifications/Experience Ability to Perform TOTAL SCORE (100 Points) | 50% 30% 20% | Score 85 85 80 | TOTAL 42.5 25.5 16 84 | 1 |

| Bentley Architects Project Approach Qualifications/Experience Ability to Perform TOTAL SCORE (100 Points) | Greg Regan 50% 30% 20% | SCORE 75 85 80 | TOTAL 37.5 25.5 16 79 |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Brown and Caldwell Project Approach Qualifications/Experience Ability to Perform TOTAL SCORE (100 Points) | 50% 30% 20% | Score 89 85 85 | TOTAL 44.5 25.5 17 87 |

•

RANKING

| SUBMITTAL | COMPANY NAME: | Brown a | and Caldwell | | |
|--|---|--|--|------------------------------|---|
| INSTRUCTION 90 - 100 80 - 89 70 - 79 60 - 69 Below 60 | Excellent, Very Good | the-box, Inn d, Solid in a aknesses, F rkable but n | novative, Cost/Time S all respects. fully Acceptable as is needs clarifications | illowing general g avings | uidelines: |
| Describe stre | engths, weaknesses a | nd deficier | ncies to support you | ır assessment. | |
| DINTHE OF | ject approach (50%) RIGINAL FACPCIAN NO JUL OF OUR DEUT ON NEED FEN ALL ANEITS - JU PLOUNE-TO- | AC TO | OTILIZE EXIVITY | DEPT SORTWAS | CAK . |
| | | | | 300 | (100-0) |
| DEARLE MI | ifications/Experience AN WITH VAN WITH SUMEROUS ON INC. OF ONE WALL - VULLENES | ILANT | ANTE PROSECTS - 1 EN NTATION OF NEEDS ALTERNATIVE | For Per VAN | <u>ExPENIE</u> VI J.W. re <u>85</u> (100-0) |
| Criteria: Abilit | ty to perform (20%) | l l. a | | aci - 1/4 | , |
| AMENUNI AMEN RESI | PROJECTI WIT | H VEP). | C. TASIFER J | JAJIUN HAI | <u> </u> |
| 1. HAN AEES | 12 CONTACT WITH | DEP- Co | WEENVINE VIEN | MUNIEN Me | pific 4 sion |
| 1. MONE THEN | out H Knowlence of | F PRISTET | RESEARCH | | |
| · | | , | / | Scoi | re <u></u> (100-0) |
| TOTAL SCO | RE | | | | |
| RANKING | | | | | *************************************** |
| OHALIFICATI | ION COMMITTEE M | EMBER. | G. REGAN | | |

| SUBMITTAL C | OMPANY NAME: _ | Bentley Architects & Engineers | |
|---|---|--|---|
| 90 - 100 80 - 89 70 - 79 60 - 69 | Outstanding, out-of-the Excellent, Very Good, (Good, No major weakn Marginal, Weak, Worka | from 1 to 100 based on the following gene-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings Solid in all respects. Lesses, Fully Acceptable as is able but needs clarifications major help to be acceptable | eral guidelines: |
| Describe streng | ths, weaknesses and | deficiencies to support your assessm | ent. |
| VIATEMENT - | t approach (50%) AL WAN VERY L WINTED THE | IMITED - A VACAT THREE A/AL TV - WILL TAY + UTILIZE JUANEY | PARALARH Ducune-Ti |
| MAJ SEES TO | ME HONED TO - V | JACUE | |
| | | | Score |
| Criteria: Qualific | ations / Experience (| 30%) | |
| KNONLEDGEAR | LE AND EXPEN | ENCES FAM - OFILIZING WOLE FROM REINDENSIAL TO K STATIONS - LIMITED TO SE | 1 CONTRAISEN |
| KETAINIUL W | Alli- EXPERIENCE | E FACE REINSENTIAL TO K | CADWAYI |
| EXPENENCE | WITH IRANIFER | SEATIONS - LIMITED TO VA | =n (c 0 slf |
| Criteria: Ability t | o perform (20%) ೧೯ - ೧೯೫೯ ಬ, | TH PUBLIC SAFETY IN NIND | Score 86 (100-0) |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | Score <u></u> \(\frac{\frac}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\fin}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\fint}{\fint}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\fin}}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac}}}}}}{\frac{\frac{\frac{\fin |
| TOTAL SCOR | E | | - |
| RANKING | | | |
| | | ADED: G PECAL | |

| SUBMITTAL | COMPANY NAME: | Bentley Architects & | Engineers | |
|--|--|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| INSTRUCTION 90 – 100 80 – 89 70 – 79 60 – 69 Below 60 | Outstanding, out-of-t Excellent, Very Good Good, No major wea Marginal, Weak, Wor | on from 1 to 100 based on he-box, Innovative, Cost/ I, Solid in all respects. knesses, Fully Acceptable kable but needs clarificat s major help to be accept | le as is tions | uidelines: |
| Describe strer | ngths, weaknesses aı | nd deficiencies to supp | ort your assessment. | |
| Spend mo | ect approach (50%) or time wy short ce, Mot while co uisval In pact. exishing geote | onstructed, stagi- | in writer area | e 85 (100-0) |
| In-house | ications/Experience orchekehral + 6 rkeei some with ocal firm | | u transferstati | บาร |
| Critorio: Ability | to porform (20%) | | Score | <u>85</u> 25,5 |
| | to perform (20%) | 231 | | |
| | | | | |
| · | | | | <u>90</u> (100-0) |
| TOTAL SCOP | RE | | | 260 86 |
| RANKING | | | | 2 |
| QUALIFICATIO | ON COMMITTEE ME | MBER: XXX | | |

| SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: Brown and Caldwell | |
|---|------|
| INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following general guidelines: 90 – 100 Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings 80 – 89 Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 70 – 79 Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is 60 – 69 Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Below 60 Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable | |
| Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment. | |
| Criteria: Project approach (50%) Pickup where project is. Get designt construction completed ASAP. Explained permitting usus. Discussed explicit design 15sucs, On-point discussion and ideas | |
| Score <u>95</u> (100-0) | 47.5 |
| Criteria: Qualifications/Experience (30%) Howe worked with other Honger stations. Solid worte experience Large national firm | |
| Score 95 (100-0) | z8,5 |
| Criteria: Ability to perform (20%) Stofis engaged, but qualable for this job + into Fibra Reasonable prefining time-line provided. | 18.4 |
| Score 912 (100-0) | 94,4 |
| TOTAL SCORE 282 | |
| RANKING | |
| QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: | |

| SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: Bentley Architects & Engi | 110013 | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the 90 – 100 Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time 80 – 89 Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 70 – 79 Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as i 60 – 69 Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable | Savings | eral guidelines: |
| Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support yo | our assessm | ent. |
| Criteria: Project approach (50%) See Attached | | |
| | *** | |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | 70 | Score <u>35, 0</u> (100-0) |
| criteria: Qualifications / Experience (30%) | | |
| See Attached | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (75) | Score 22,5 |
| riteria: Ability to perform (20%) | 75 | Score 22.5 (100-0) |
| riteria: Ability to perform (20%) See Attached | 75 | Score <u>22.5</u> (100-0) |
| riteria: Ability to perform (20%) See Attached | 75 | Score 22.5 (100-0) |
| riteria: Ability to perform (20%) See Attached | | |
| riteria: Ability to perform (20%) See Attached | | Score 22.5 (100-0) Score (16.0) (100-0) |
| See Alfached | | Score <u>16.0</u> |
| See Attached OTAL SCORE | | Score <u>/6-0</u> (100-0) |
| OTAL SCORE ANKING | (30) | Score <u>16-0</u> (100-0) <u>74-0</u> 2 |
| OTAL SCORE ANKING | (30) | Score <u>16-0</u> (100-0) <u>74-0</u> 2 |
| Criteria: Ability to perform (20%) See Attached South Score CANKING UALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: J. Denni Call Bentley - PM, also structural engineering A evivero - civil engineering Le Bonilla - structural engineer Staff visited site today (Fri 20 Aug - recognized efficiency of spece, - attornmarker ment 2 aker area al | s Wes | Score 16-0 (100-0) 74-0 2 strick |

PS-5191-05/TLR – Central Transfer Station Citizen Area

| R Hay Architects & Engineers |
|--|
| SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: Bentley Architects & Engineers |
| QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: J. Dennis Westrick |
| Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment. |
| Criteria: Qualifications 30% |
| Vague Full service A LE firm w/ prior SC experience numerous structural analyses Vague Understanding of project scope, Performed Structural Analysis of CTS Letus performed similar work for City of Itallahossee, Et. Pierce Perry Eleeshing Bentler has provided A & E sucs to gout, asencies for 710 years Bentler has provided A & E sucs to gout, asencies for 710 years Good subconsultants all w/ prior SC experience Score No previous CTS experience w/ others (15) (0-30) Criteria: Methodology 25% |
| Proposed to perform 1st Step - Site Approach fellowed by 2) Builing Approach Decision on Strawtor Pond w/b based on #. Did not addess all items in Expanded Scop Proposing new HHW blds (concrek & CMU) w/ metal roof. No mention of DRC process to date Will minimize removal of existing pavement & base to avoid disruption, reduce H Not a lot of detail provided on building size, layor etc. Score Not a lot of detail provided on building size, layor etc. Score No comment on parmitting requirements, Nothing or (70) (0-25) Criteria: Experience 25% |
| 1 - C 1 - C 1 - C 1 - C 1 - C 200/ |
| William Dentley to serve as I'm Dentley has occur so evaluation) |
| 5 Ub consultants - Jims Wilkerson (M&E), Ardaman (Geolech) & Southeastern Survey Score (75) (0-25) |
| Criteria: Ability to Perform 15% |
| Full service consulting firm w/ prior knowledge of 50 policies a procedures Current workload whitzation chart or graph provided stated |
| Commit "our full energy to this project" Can commence immediately, key staff 20 to 35% Score Overall 35% available (0-15) |
| Local firm with office in Longwood (warren Ave) |
| Score <u>5</u> (0-5) |
| Total Score |

| INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the form | ollowing ge | neral guidelines: |
|--|---------------|-----------------------|
| 90 – 100 Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time S | Savings | |
| 80 – 89 Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 70 – 79 Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is | ; | |
| 60 – 69 Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications | | |
| Below 60 Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable | | |
| Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your criteria: Project approach (50%) | ur assessi | ment. |
| Jee Marie | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (85) | Score 42,5 (100-0) |
| | | |
| Criteria: Qualifications / Experience (30%) | | • |
| see Mileury | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (5) | Score <u>25.5</u> |
| | | (100-0) |
| Criteria: Ability to perform (20%) | | (, |
| See Attached | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | (5.1) | |
| | (88) | Score 16.0 |
| | | (100-0) |
| TOTAL COORE | | 84.0 |
| TOTAL SCORE | | |
| RANKING | | / |
| | | |
| J. Danais | | lestrick & |
| QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: | | 18 |
| F. Call See Handat | _ | ہے۔ |
| | Design | tirms |
| Nissen No. 48 in ENR's Top 500 | / / | |
| Nissen No: 48 in ENR's Top 500 lrabosky - Pick up where others left | 017 | ~ |
| Nissen - No: 48 in ENR's Top 500 l trabosky - Pick up where others left will require ERP & mod t | of FDE | P |
| Nissen No: 48 in ENR's Top 500 l rabosky - Pick up where others left - will require ERP & mod t - have work w/ SCSWMD for | of FDE | b years |
| Nissen No: 48 in ENR's Top 500 | of FDE over (| b years 's Meleud Rd |

PS-5191-05/TLR – Central Transfer Station Citizen Area

| D O CII II Facilianis |
|--|
| SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: Brown & Caldwell Engineers |
| QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: J. Dennis Westrick |
| Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment. |
| Criteria: Qualifications 30% |
| Full understanding of project scope & SC needs. Very Knowledgeable of CTS |
| Ledaily operations Nationally recognized ful service Engineering Consulting firm Worked by SCSWMD on various projects since 1998 Lead Consultant for Miami-Dule for 2010 Corrently contracted under several Master Agreements by SCSWMD For continuing services |
| Corrently contracted under several Muster Agreements w/ SCSWMD torcontinuing services |
| Contracted under several Master Agreements by School Top 100 ENR rated 25 years of SWexperience in FL Score Swservices is a core business of B&C, licensed GC, nFL (85) (0-30) Criteria: Methodology 25% |
| as I I I am in a last I was to I return grounded converbed layor to |
| identified deficiencies w/ proposed solutions to reduce # concurred w/ proposed structured of proposed solutions to reduce # concurred w/ proposed structured of |
| Proposing to prepare a project Management Tlan Inch a detailed 3000, 500 s. |
| Recognized that Stormweter Mgm + could be the controlling Score |
| Proposing to prepare a project Management Plan Inch a detailed Source. No Subcontractors proposed for design, permitting or post-design sucs. Precognized that Stormwiter Mgm t could be the controlling Score Design to Cost factor of design & permitting. Criteria: Experience 25% Provided project schoolse |
| Criteria: Experience 25% BLC has successfully completed in number of projects for 5cswmd at the CTS Corrently providing 5WM sonvices to Ovange, Lalce, Hernando, Indian River, Miami-Dade La Palm Bich County & Performed Similar work for Palm Boach County's CTS Citizen Area at their two transfer stations, BLC has their own in-house structural group Jim Nissen to Serve as PM worked w/ scswmd for past Oscore Good existing working relationship w/ Regulatory agencies Go) (0-25) Criteria: Ability to Perform 15% |
| Corrently providing SWM sorvices to Ovange, Lulce, Hernando, Indian River, Miami Jake |
| at their two transfer stations, BLC has their own in-house structural group |
| Jim Nissen to Serve as I'm worked an senting property agencies go (0-25) |
| Criteria: Ability to Perform 15% |
| 1 1) 11 1 - Oh while les more than allegiste PROVICES |
| available to perform prescribed work, BLC has been very Vesponsive to Scswind in the past, working around operating hours of CTS Score |
| Score |
| Criteria: Location 5% |
| National Engineering consulting firm w/ Local Office in Maitland (Trafelgor 4) |
| Score |
| (0-5) |
| Total Score |
| (0-100) |

| •• |
|-----|
| M |
| |
| ٠. |
| |
| |
| 1O |
| * |
| |
| Ø |
| ΩI |
| `1" |
| ~ |
| w |
| Ø |
| T |
| ٦, |
| ᄔ |
| ш |

PRESENTATION RANKINGS PS-5191-05/TLR - Engineering Design, Permitting & Post-Design Services

| Bentley Architects | |
|--------------------|---|
| Brown and Caldwell | Ì |

| D. Gregory | D. Westrick | G. Regan | TOTAL POINTS | RANKING |
|------------|-------------|----------|--------------|---------|
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |

We approve the above stated ranking:

David Gregory

Greg Regan